

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 CATHERINE CHURCHILL,
12 Plaintiff,

No. CIV.S-04-1505 DAD

13 v.

ORDER

14 JO ANNE B. BARNHART,
15 Commissioner of Social
Security,

16 Defendant.
17 _____/


18 This social security matter is before the court on the
19 parties' cross-motions for summary judgment. In her motion,
20 plaintiff raises certain arguments regarding "new evidence" submitted
21 to the district court. Plaintiff apparently attempted to file such
22 evidence with the court electronically on January 10, 2005, in
23 support of her request for voluntary remand. Specifically,
24 plaintiff's request for remand refers to attached reports from Andre
25 Van Mol, M.D., dated June 9, 2004; James V. Potter, Ph.D., dated
26 January 7, 2005; and John C. Wakefield, M.D., dated January 7, 2005.

1 (See Doc. no. 10.) However, those documents are not in fact attached
2 to the request plaintiff electronically filed with the court. Nor is
3 the evidence referred to by plaintiff attached to her motion for
4 summary judgment.

5 Accordingly, if plaintiff still desires the court to
6 consider her arguments in her motion for summary judgment with
7 respect to the medical reports described herein, plaintiff shall file
8 those reports within one (1) week of the date of this order.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 DATED: March 1, 2006.

11 

12 DALE A. DROZD

13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

14 DAD:th
15 ddad1/orders.socsec/churchill1505.order.docs
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26